Report of the Committee on Mid-Term Appraisal of $11^{\text {* }}$ Five Year Plan [FY: 2007-12] budget of NIPER, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali

NIPE:R, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohall, vide its office order no. NIPER/DIR/OO/2010 dated February 1st, 2010 constituted a committee comprising of the following:-

1. Dr. Sudershan K. Arora, Chairman
2. Dr. P. K. Biswas, Member
3. Sh. A.C Ahuja, Member
4. Prof. H.S. Nigah, Member

Registrar, NIPER, Mohali was the Convener,
2. The commitee had six sittings on February $27^{\text {th }}$, Aprii $2^{n 0}, 3^{n d}, 20^{\text {n }}, 23^{4}$ and $24^{\text {th }}$. The Committee pursued the two Plan Documents placed before it. Ore was relating t. 22 Ongoing Schemes with budgeted expenditure of Rs. 95.00 crore and another was for $19 \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{w}$ schemes with budgeted expenditure of Rs. 61.89 crore. The progress of each of the above :chemes is ind.cated in the Annexure C01 to C22 and N01 to N19.
3. Based on the documents furnished to the committee, discussion with the faculty members, staff of Finance \& Accounts Department and physical verification of the infrastructure projects, committee finding are as under:-
3.1 There has been reasonably good progress in respect of the following 19 projects.

| Nc. | Proj. Code | Project Name | 5 yr Plan Budget $[2007-$ 12 | Spens/ Committe-1 $[2007-1[y]$ $\left[1^{21} 3\right.$ yrs $]$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Requirement } \\ \text { projected by } \\ \text { NIPEf: } \\ {[2010-12]} \\ \text { [next } 2 \mathrm{yrs}] \end{array}$ | Suggested by the Committee [2010-12] [next 2 yrs] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rs Liats |  |  |  |
| Category A Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | C01 | Teaching Program | 300 | 71.4 | 153 | 156 |
| 2 | C02 | Systern Biology | 380 | 24 | 107 | 100 |
| 3 | C04 | Dilibetics Complications | 550 | 35 | 187 | 187 |
| 4 | C06 | Leads Molecules for TB, Malaria and leishamania | 515 | $2: 2^{-}$ | 226 | 226 |
| 5 | C09 | Criral Drugs/bio-Chemo EnzymaticTransformation | 178 | 213 | ril | nii |
| , 6 | C11 | Nanotechnology \& Drug Delivery Systern | 525 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 301 | 301 |
| 7 | C14 | Aclvance Centre for Traditional Merlicine | 639 | 360 | 353 | 333 |
| 8 | C16 | Standardization of Herbal Products | 223 | 78 | 145 | 145 |
| 9 | C17 | Pharmacological \& Toxócological Screening | 148 | 1-4 | 54 | 94 |
| 10 | N01 | Boys Hostel | 500 | 418 | 2:6 | 236 |
| 11 | N02 | Swimming Pool | 125 | 125 | 17.29 | 17.29 |
| 12 | N03 | Slopping Centre - | 5 | 5 | 0.62 | 0.82 |
| 13 | N04 | Community Centre | 45 | 45 | 22.68 | 22.88 |
| 14 | N06 | Extension of National Bioavailability Centre | 44 | 44 | 1 dil | NII |
| 15 | N07 | Health Centre | 57 | 12 | 15 | 15 |
| 16 | N08 | Type-li-V houses | 455 | C.6\% | 9 | 9 |
| 17 | N12 | Herbal Preservation set-up | 85 | 85 | Nill | Nil |
| 18 | N13 | Setting up of a dosage forms pilot plant | 541 | 400 | 1.41 | 141 |
| 19 | N18 | Drugs for neglected diseases | 1583 | 310 | 1233 | 1233 |
| Total [A] |  |  | 6898 | $3 \tan 5$ | 32.78 | 3208 |

3.2 The progress in response of following 19 projects has not been to the expected levil and all out efforts are required to accelerate their implementation

| No. | ProjCode | Projoct Name | 5 yr Plan Budget $[2007-$ $12]$ | Spent $/$ Committed $[2007-10]$ $\left[4^{4} 3\right.$ yrs $]$ | Requirement projected by NIPER [2010-12] [pext 2 yrs] | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Suggested } \\ \text { by the } \\ \text { Committee } \\ {[2010-12]} \\ {[\text { next } 2 \text { yrs] }} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rs Lacs |  |  |
| Category B Projects |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 1 | C03 | Invitro/Alternative Method of Screaning | 475 | 263 | 209 | 209 |
| 2 | C05 | Pharma Parasitology/Virology' Infection Lab | 913 | 577 | 336 | 336 |
| $\overline{3}$ | C07 | Pharma Grid | 1106 | 468. | 638 | 638 |
| 4 | C08 | Pharmaco-informatics | 325 | 127 | 198 | 198 |
| 5 | C10 | Pharmacy Heritage | 145 | 1 | 144 | 144 |
| 6 | C12 | Business Development Group | 175 | 40 | 135 | 135 |
| 7 | C13 | Reference Standard Development | 200 | 89 | 111 | 111 |
| 8 | C18 | National Bio Avaliability Centre | 257 | 163 | 94 | 84 |
| 9 | C19 | Thechnoiogy Development Centre | 589 | 345 | 224 | 224 |
| 10 | C20 | Librery/Electronic Journals | 550 | 301 | 249 | 249 |
| 11 | C21 | CIL [Central Instruments Laboratory] | 750 | 563 | $187^{-}$ | 187 |
| 12 | C22 | Project Manpower Expenditure | 475 | $1!13$ | $2 \overline{82}$ | 282 |
| 13 | N05 | Auditorium | 550 | 422 | 864 | 864 |
| 14 | N09 | Provision of additional Bridge 8 gate | 286 | 2 BE | 39.08 | 39.08 |
| 15 | N11 | Furniture \& air-conditioning | 400 | 181 | - 219 | 219 |
| 16 | N14 | New specialization in Pharma management [R\&D management] | 160 | 18 | 142 | 142 |
| 17 | N15 | Fesearch in Pharmacoviglance \& Pharmaco-economics | 250 | $\overline{36}$ : | 182 | 192 |
| 13 | N16 | Clinical Research Training | 250 | 76 | 172 | 172 |
| 19 | N17 | Interlectual Property Rights | $2 \cdot 25$ | 5 | 168 | 168 |
| Total [B] |  |  | 8061 | 423:5 | 4603.08 | 4603.08 |

3.3 There nas been no significant progress in respect of following 3 projects. It is suggested that these schemes may be deferred from the $11^{\text {h }}$ Five Year Plan arat the money seved could be utilized for completing other schemes where the budgeted amount was under estimated.


It would be observed from the above that as against Plan Budget of Rs 156.89 crore, NIPER has spent/ committed an expenditure of Rs 82.54 cr during the $1^{\text {th }}$ three years and proposes to spend Rs .85.99 crores during the balance two years period. As such total expenditure of Rs 168.53 crores exceeds the Plan budget by Rs 11.64 crores

It is suggested that the Plan funds may be re-appropriated amongst the various schemes as per the revised estimates suggested by the Committee within the overall plan budget. Priority should be given to ongoing schemes particularly relating to teaching \& research areas.
In future, progress of Five Year Plans should be reviewed by a committee of outside experts every year whereas internal committee headed by the Director should review the progress every quarter

Budget estimates prepared for the $11^{\text {th }}$ Plan (2007-12) in the year 2006 were grossly under estimated in most of the schemes particularly infrastructure projects: involving civil works.

The Committee observed that there was absence of co-ordination amongst the faculty members where more than one faculty members were involved in the implementation of the various programmes under the scheme.

Responsibility Centres / Teams were not constituted for implementation of the $11^{\text {th }}$ Plan which should have been done in the very $1^{\text {II }}$ year of the plan viz. 2007-08.

An allocation of Rs, 4 crore was made for furniture and air-conditioning for some new additional structures. Since most of the buildings like Boys Hostel, Community Centre is yet to be completed; the expenditure of Rs. 181 Lacs incurred during this last three years needs to be looked into.

There is urgent need of strengthening the Finance \& Accounts Department and also appointment of, Finance Head at the level of Financial Adviso/Director (Finance)/ Chief Finance Officer.
12. There should be another mid-term review of $11^{\text {th }}$ Plan in Mar-Apr'2011.

13 Budget estimates for the $12^{\text {th }}$ Plan (2012-17) should be considered separately for NIPER, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohail by the Ministry

14 This report does not constitute an Audit of books of Account of NIPER.
15 Internal Audit system and controls in each department needs to be put in place.
16 Log books for utilisation of instruments I equipment in various laboratory need to be maintained

17 Ministry should release the Plan funds every year to NIPER at the beginning of the year so that the planned activities do not suffer due to delay in receipt of funds.


Dr. P. K. Biswas
Member
S.A.S. Nagar
$24^{\text {h }}$ April, 2010

A.C. Ahuja Member


Prof. Hes. Nigh Member

[Dr Sudishan K Aroma]
Chairmen

