

Valid points for the resignation of officiating director (Dr. K. K. Bhutani)

1. Student welfare at least priority
  - No new lecture hall (students: lecture hall = 700 : 4).
  - Lack of rooms and basic facilities in Hostels
  - Lack of medical facilities (no Doctor available in the campus)
  - Lack of emergency facilities (no car, driver or first-aid)
  - Girls hostel proposed in Fifth Year Plan yet not constructed (many promises have been made and broken)
  - Lack of research facilities
  - Faculty recruitment has not been done at regular basis (student: faculty ratio = 700:31)
  - No dedicated, qualified, and experienced placement officer.
2. Non-recognition of M.S. (Pharm.) and Ph.D. degree by PCI and UGC, India. No visible efforts have been taken by the Director as the head of Institute to solve these issues.
3. Falsification of facts and figures (example RFD doc.)
4. Inappropriate utilization of funds in the construction of bridge, swimming pool that are at least priority. Swimming pool not working owing to higher maintenance cost from the time of its construction.
5. He is continuously giving false assurances to students. In spite of continuous strike from twenty seven days, no action yet has been taken from the Director and he did not show his face to students at immense request also. He is continuously abating from his duties, this is not expected from the head of institute.
6. Termination of 3 faculties in the middle of semester that badly affected the project and mental status of students.
7. Recruitment of non eligible and incompetent candidate at higher administrative portfolio (registrar) and faculties (who are not even Post-Doc. or with research experience).
8. Show favouritism and monopoly in taking administrative decision such as fund allocation, staff recruitment (teaching and non teaching).

9. Mismanagement of national bioavailability and heritage centre. These building are not being utilized for any purpose; on the other hand, there are only two laboratories in Pharmacoinformatics department that are utilized by over 100 students.

10. He is following divide and rule policy and creating disharmony amongst faculties

11. Director is backstabbing and using abusive language against the faculty members as well as provoking students against them (faculties are not fulfilling their respective duties)

12. There is no transparency in administrative procedures (minutes of meetings amongst committee members and students were not provided).